Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Agnieszka Dzierżawska-Majewska,<sup>a</sup>\* Janina Karolak-Wojciechowska<sup>a</sup> and Jolanta Obniska<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institute of General and Ecological Chemistry, Technical University of Łódź, ul. Żeromskiego 116, 90-924 Łódź, Poland, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Jagiellonian University Medical College, ul. Medyczna 9, 30-688 Kraków, Poland

Correspondence e-mail: agamaj@p.lodz.pl

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.003 Å R factor = 0.056 wR factor = 0.150 Data-to-parameter ratio = 13.9

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. In the structure of the title compound,  $C_{16}H_{18}N_2O_4$ , intermolecular N-H···O [N···O = 2.866 (3) Å] and O-H···O [O···O = 2.611 (3) Å] hydrogen bonds link molecules into a two-dimensional framework. The crystal structure is further stabilized by weak intermolecular C-H···O interactions. Received 21 November 2005 Accepted 30 January 2006

## Comment

In the course of our investigation on packing motifs in succinimides (Obniska et al., 2005; Dzierżawska-Majewska et al., 2006), we have determined the structure of the title compound, (I), where the carboxyl substituent on the benzene ring may be a useful hydrogen-bond donor/acceptor. A view of (I) is shown in Fig. 1. The bicyclic skeleton consists of succinimide and spirocyclohexane attached at atom C3. The five-membered imide ring adopts a pseudo-half-chair conformation, while the cyclohexane ring is in a chair form. The general conformation of the molecule can be characterized by the torsion angles  $\Phi1$  (C2-N1-N2-C21) and  $\Phi2$  (C5-N1-N2-C21) which have values of -84.6 (3) and 113.9 (2)°, respectively. In all N-aminophenyl-substituted succinimides there are at least four distinct phenyl-ring orientations, distinguishable by the values of these two torsion angles. The conformation of (I) is different from that of N-aminophenyl-2azaspiro[4.5]decane-1,3-dione  $[\Phi 1 = -112.3 (4)^{\circ}$  and  $\Phi 2 =$ 74.8  $(4)^{\circ}$ ] and *N*-aminophenyl-2-azaspiro[4.4]nonane-1,3dione  $[\Phi 1 = -112.8 (7)^{\circ}$  and  $\Phi 2 = 84.1 (6)^{\circ}]$ , which are analogous to similar structures with unsubstituted phenyl rings (Obniska et al., 2005).



In the crystal structure, molecules form the centrosymmetric hydrogen-bonded dimers expected for carboxylic acids (Table 1). In addition, intermolecular  $N-H\cdots O$  hydrogen bonds connect molecules into a two-dimensional framework (Fig. 2) and weak  $C-H\cdots O$  interactions complete the formation of a three-dimensional network (Table 1). Similar

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved



### Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title compound, showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids. H atoms are drawn as small spheres.

types of hydrogen bonding have been observed in a structure we have already studied (Obniska et al., 2005). It should be noted that in N-benzylsuccinimide structures (with a CH<sub>2</sub> group replacing atom N2), molecules are connected only by means of C-H···O hydrogen bonds (Dzierżawska-Majewska et al., 2006).

# **Experimental**

4-Hydrazinebenzoic acid (0.01 mol) was dissolved in water (20 ml), and then 1-carboxy-1-cyclohexane-1-acetic acid (0.01 mol) was gradually added. The mixture was heated in an oil bath with simultaneous distillation of water. After the complete removal of water, the temperature of the reaction mixture was raised to 453 K and maintained at this level for 1.5 h. The crude products were recrystallized from ethanol and single crystals of the title compound were obtained by slow evaporation of an ethanol solution [yield: 74%; m.p. 499–501 K;  $R_F(S_1) = 0.12$ ,  $(S_2) = 0.64$ ]. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  1.33–1.80 (m, 10H, cyclohexane), 2.71 (s, 2H, succinimide), 3.50-3.57 (q, 1H, NH), 6.70-6.72 (m, 2H, arom.), 7.82-7.90 (m, 2H, aromatic), 11.02 (rough s, 1H, COOH).

# Crystal data

$C_{16}H_{18}N_2O_4$	$D_x = 1.358 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 302.32$	Cu $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, $C2/c$	Cell parameters from 930
$a = 18.740 (4) \text{ Å}_{-}$	reflections
b = 6.1600 (12)  Å	$\theta = 12.3-43.6^{\circ}$
c = 25.637 (5) Å	$\mu = 0.82 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 92.54 \ (3)^{\circ}$	T = 293 (2) K
$V = 2956.6 (10) \text{ Å}^3$	Plate, colorless
Z = 8	$0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	

#### ata collection

Bruker SMART APEX CCD area-	2777 independent reflections
detector diffractometer	2073 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$\omega$ scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.034$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\rm max} = 70.1^{\circ}$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 2003)	$h = -22 \rightarrow 22$
$T_{\min} = 0.784, \ T_{\max} = 0.940$	$k = -7 \rightarrow 7$
15719 measured reflections	$l = -30 \rightarrow 31$



#### Figure 2

Part of the crystal structure of (I), showing the hydrogen-bond interactions as dotted lines.

### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0671P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.056$	+ 2.2235P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.150$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.02	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
2777 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.22 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
200 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.30 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	

# Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-\mathrm{H}\cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$N2-H2\cdotsO1^{i}$	0.91	2.10	2.866 (3)	141
O3−H3···O4 <sup>ii</sup>	0.99	1.62	2.611 (3)	171
$C4 - H4B \cdots O4^{iii}$	1.03	2.59	3.380 (3)	133
$C23 - H23 \cdots O2^{iv}$	0.94	2.41	3.249 (3)	149
C25-H25···O3	0.98	2.41	2.773 (3)	101
Symmetry codes:	(i) $-x + \frac{1}{2}$ , y	$y + \frac{1}{2} - z + \frac{3}{2}$	(ii) $-x, -v+1$	-z + 2; (iii)

 $-x, y + 1, -z + \frac{3}{2}$ ; (iv)  $-x, y - 1, -z + \frac{3}{2}$ .

All H atoms were located in difference Fourier maps and included in the refinement in these as-found positions in a riding-model approximation, with  $U_{iso}(H) = U_{eq}(C \text{ or } N)$ .

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2003); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2003); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Bruker, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

This study was supported partly by the KBN grant Nr 3P05F 024 25.

## References

 $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

Bruker (2003). SMART (Version 5.629), SAINT (Version 6.00) and SHELXTL (Version 6.14). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Dzierżawska-Majewska, A., Obniska, J. & Karolak-Wojciechowska, J. (2006). J. Mol. Struct. 783, 66-72.

Obniska, J., Dzierżawska-Majewska, A., Zagórska, A., Zajdel, P. & Karolak-Wojciechowska, J. (2005). Il Farmaco, 60, 529-539.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Sheldrick, G. M. (2003). SADABS. Version 2.10. University of Göttingen, Germany.